UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,525	11/24/2003	Hiroharu Inoue	P24616	1953	
	7590 05/10/2007 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.O		EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE	CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN			
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
		·	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/10/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

# **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/718,525	INOUE ET AL.	•
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alicia Chevalier	1772	

Potoro the Filing of an Annual Priof								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Alicia Chevalier	1772						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire becaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:	will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.      The affidavit or other evidence is not and the surplus standard of the surplus	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).					
O. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see continuatio sheet.</li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:					
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	×						
			•					

#### **Continuation Sheet**

Continuation of 11. because: It remains the Examiner's position that the claim(s) is/are unpatentable for reasons previously of record in the final office action.

1. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 USC 119(a)-(d) or (f). All certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

## Response to Applicant's Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments in paper May 2, 2007 regarding the 35 USC 112, first paragraph, rejection of record have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

As Applicant clearly points out from page 9 of the specification:

In the following partial structure of PPE (I), it is preferable that Z is a phenylene group and n is 1 (i.e., benzyl derivative), or that Z is an oxygen atom and n is 2, more preferably a p-ethenybenzyl, m-ethenybenzyl, or ethenyloxyethyl group.

This section of the specification is completely silent about whether all phenylene groups are ortho, meta or para. The specification only has a disclosure of positioning for ethenybenzyl. Therefore, the limitation "Z is a para- or meta-pheylene" is still considered new matter.

### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. Application/Control Number: 10/718,525

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac \$\int 5/7/07

ALICIA CHEVALIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER